

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Attrandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.usnid.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,252	11/26/2003	Brian C. Demsky	MIS-00401	6061
75	90 05/11/2006		EXAM	INER
MUIRHEAD AND SATURNELLI, LLC 200 FRIBERG PARKWAY			BONZO, I	BRYCE P
SUITE 1001	IAKWAI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTBOROUGH, MA 01581			2113	

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

### Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/723,252	DEMSKY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bryce P. Bonzo	2113	

	Bryce P. Bonzo	2113		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 4/26/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOV	VANCE.		
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply n	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	,		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the contains			ecause	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,	·	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant / who hamone	(1 1 OL OL+).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 4,6,16-19,25,29,31,32,34-38,42,44,54-5	57,63,67 and 69-76.	•		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,7-15,20-24,26-28,30,33,39-41,43</u>	,45-53,58-62,64-66 and 68.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		Bryce Bonzo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2113	DOSO	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 13. Other: See attached Final Official Action for reasoning of the rejections..



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/723,252	11/26/2003	Brian C. Demsky	MIS-00401	6061
75	90 01/25/2006		EXAM	INER
Patent Group			BONZO, BRYCE P	
Choate, Hall &	Stewart			
Exchange Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
53 State Street			2113	
Boston, MA 02109-2804		•	DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/723,252	DEMSKY ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Bryce P. Bonzo	2113		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 O	ctober 2005.	•		
	•	action is non-final.			
3)□					
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under E	·			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-80 is/are pending in the application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>4,6,16-19,25,29,31,32,34,38-42,44,54-57,63,67 and 69-76</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7-15,20-24,26-28,30,33,39-41,43,45-53,58-62,64-66,68,71 and 77-80</u> is/are rejected.				
7)					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicat	on Papers	•			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 2003 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	ed to by the Examiner.		
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.		
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,, ,		

### **FINAL OFFICIAL ACTION**

#### Status of the Claims

Claims 4, 6, 16-19, 25, 29, 31, 32, 34, 38-42, 44, 54-57, 63, 67 and 69-76 are allowed.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-15, 20, 21, 39-41, 43, 45-53, 58 and 59 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b).

Claims 22-24, 26-28, 30, 33, 60-62, 64-66, 68, 71 and 77-80 are rejected under 35 USC §102 (e)

#### Rejections under 35 USC §102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-15, 20, 21, 39-41, 43, 45-53, 58 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jas (United States Patent Publication No. US 2002/0059260 A1).

As per the claims, Jas discloses:

1. A method for detecting an inconsistent data structure comprising:

receiving a specification describing at least one consistency constraint of a data structure (page 4, ¶56-62); and

dynamically determining during execution of a program whether said data structure violates said at least one consistency constraint (page 6, ¶81), wherein said program configured to perform said dynamically determining as part of consistency checking processing in accordance with one or more of: a signal handler that processes a fault (page 7, ¶85, ¶87-89), a consistency check of only a portion of said data structure at a first portion of said data structure at a first execution point in accordance with either a previous usage of said portion or a subsequent usage of said portion within said program, or at least one user specified execution program.

- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein said specification comprises at least one logical formula (page 4, ¶56-62).
- 3. The method of claim 2, wherein said specification includes at least one consistency constraint expressed in terms of said data structure (page 4, ¶61).
- 5. The method of claim 3, wherein said specification includes a description of said data structure (page 4, ¶56).

Application/Control Number: 10/723,252

Art Unit: 2113

7. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

representing said data structure as an abstract model (page 4, ¶56); and determining consistency constraint violations of said abstract model (page 5, ¶71).

Page 4

- 8. The method of claim 7, wherein said specification includes a description of said data structure (page 4, ¶61).
- 9. The method of claim 8, wherein said specification includes an abstract model definition (page 4, ¶61).
- 10. The method of claim 9, wherein said specification includes an internal constraint in terms of said abstract model definition (page 4, ¶61).
- 11. The method of claim 10, further comprising: determining if said internal constraint is violated in accordance with an evaluation of said internal constraint (page 4, ¶71).
- 12. The method of claim 11, wherein said specification includes at least one external constraint mapping elements of said abstract model to elements of said data structure (page 6, ¶80).

- 13. The method of claim 10, wherein said description of said abstract model includes at least one model definition rule and at least one declaration for one of: a set and a relation, said at least one model definition rule representing an element of said data structure in at least one of a set and a relation (page 4, ¶55-56).
- 14. The method of claim 13, wherein said specification includes at least one external constraint mapping elements of said abstract model to elements of said data structure (page 6, ¶80).
- 15. The method of claim 1, wherein said dynamically determining is performed in response to at least one of: an explicit call and a transfer of control to an error handler (page 9, ¶105: creation and modification are explicit calls).
- 20. The method of claim 1, further comprising: determining whether a memory reference in connection with said data structure is valid in accordance with currently allocated memory of said program (page 5, ¶72).
- 21. The method of claim 1, further comprising: repairing said data structure if said data structure violates said at least one consistency constraint (page 8, ¶94 repair by deletion).

Claims 39-41, 43, 45-53, 58 and 59 are the computer program product embodiments of the method of dynamic repair as disclosed in claims 1-3, 5, 7-15, 20 and 21. As such the claims 39-41, 43, 45-53, 58 and 59 are rejected on the same portions of Jas and the supporting paragraphs providing the programming structure.

Claims 22-24, 26-28, 60-62 and 64-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Applin (United States Patent Application Publication US 2004/0015876 A1).

As per the claims, Applin discloses:

22. A method of dynamically repairing an inconsistent data structure during program execution comprising:

receiving at least one inconsistency violation (page 2, ¶15);

selecting a repair to correct said at least one inconsistency violation (page 2, ¶16); and

repairing said inconsistent data structure (page 2, ¶16: recovery state), said repairing including modifying at least a portion of said inconsistent data structure (page 2, ¶15: providing a default Value).

23. The method of claim 22, further comprising: resuming execution of said program (page 2, ¶16: continue with reduced functionality).

- 24. The method of claim 22, further comprising: performing said repair and satisfying said consistency constraint (page 2, ¶16: waiting for user to repair).
- 26. The method of claim 22, further comprising: repairing said inconsistent data structure in accordance with an internal consistency constraint (page 2, ¶16: waiting for user to repair).
- 27. The method of claim 22, further comprising: selecting a repair from a plurality of repairs in accordance with a cost associated with each repair (page 2, ¶16: waiting for user repair or automatically switching to recovery mode: the cost has been evaluated in time).
- 28. The method of claim 27, wherein said cost is user specified (page 2, ¶16: the user programs the program to carry out one option or the other).

Claims 60-62 and 64-66 are the computer program product embodiments of the method of dynamic repair as disclosed in claims 22-24 and 26-28. As such the claims 60-62 and 64-66 rejected on the same portions of Applin and the supporting paragraphs providing the programming structure.

Claims 30, 33, 77, 78, 68, 71, 79 and 80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burrows (United States Patent Application Publication US2003/0125290 A1).

As per the claims Burrows discloses:

30. A method of handling an invalid memory reference comprising (page 1, ¶1):

determining whether a memory reference associated with an operation is invalid (Page 1, ¶9); and

if said memory reference is invalid, performing an action selected in accordance with a type of said operation, wherein said type includes at last one of a read operation or a write operation in place of performing said operation (page 6, ¶52 for reads, ¶53 for writes).

- 33. The method of claim 30, wherein said invalid memory access is determined during execution of said program (page 5, ¶43).
- 77. The method of claim 30, wherein said action includes performing at least one of: no action at all (¶51), discarding said operation, reading a location other than a location specified by the invalid memory reference, writing to a location other than a location specified by the invalid memory reference, writing a value other than a value specified by the invalid memory reference, or supply a default value.

78. The method of claim 30, wherein there are at least two actions and a first of said of actions supplies a different value than a second of said actions (¶52,53).

Claims 68, 71, 79 and 80 are the computer program product embodiments of the method of dynamic repair as disclosed in claims30, 33, 77 and 78. As such the claims 68, 71, 79 and 80 rejected on the same portions of Burrows and the supporting paragraphs providing the programming structure.

#### Allowable Matter

Claims 4, 6, 16-19, 25, 29, 31, 32, 34, 38-42, 44, 54-57, 63, 67 and 69-76 are allowed.

#### Response to Applicants Arguments

Applicants arguments have been considered and the rejections amended to meet the limitations added by Applicant.

#### Final Disposition

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/723,252

Art Unit: 2113

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryce P. Bonzo whose telephone number is (571)272-3655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571)272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/723,252

Art Unit: 2113

Bryce P Bonzo
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2113

Page 11